CUSTOM RATES 2013-2014 for Idaho Agricultural Operations

Paul E. Patterson and Kathleen Painter

The primary purpose of this publication is to report data obtained by a survey of custom operators in Idaho. It also provides information on how to calculate machinery costs for setting custom rates, as well as how to adjust historical custom rates using indices available from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

The equipment needed for a modern farming operation is expensive and often quite specialized. On a smaller farm, it may be impractical to own all of the necessary equipment. Even a large farm with a complete machinery complement may find it necessary to use a custom operator or to hire a neighbor to avoid missing a planting or harvesting window when weather delays occur.

A custom operator typically specializes in certain farm operations, whereas a neighbor simply may have the equipment and time to trade work or to provide services for a fee. Some specialized farming operations use their equipment to do custom work during "slack times" on their farm or ranch. This can reduce ownership costs and provide needed cash flow.

The question that arises is how much should be charged

or paid for these services. Full-time commercial custom operators should charge a fee that covers all machinery and labor costs plus a profit. Those performing custom services for a neighbor might charge only enough to cover labor and fuel costs.

In areas where a considerable portion of farm work is done by custom operators, established customary rates cover actual machine operating and ownership costs. Problems can arise, however, where no customary rates have been established or when a rapid increase in costs puts established rates significantly below total costs. This publication can help custom operators and growers calculate appropriate custom rates in such circumstances.

Idaho Geography

Idaho varies greatly in topography, climate, soils, and other variables affecting agricultural production. Consequently, a wide variety of crop/livestock enterprises and management systems exists. Because of this variability, production costs can differ from one area to another and even between adjacent farms or ranches.

Custom rates reported in this publication are tied to four

Contents

Idaho Geography	1
Owning vs. Custom Hire	2
Survey Data	3
Calculating Machine Costs	3
Adjusting Custom Rates Using USDA Indices	4
Conclusion	7
Appendix (Tables A-J)	8
References and Other Useful Links	



geographic regions of Idaho. Counties are placed in the region with the most similar type of production agriculture. For example, Blaine and Camas counties are included with the eastern Idaho counties and not with the Magic Valley counties of southcentral Idaho. Boldface type indicates the counties where the majority of survey respondents were located.

- *Northern Idaho* covers Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Idaho, Kootenai, Latah, Lewis, and Nez Perce counties.
- *Southwestern Idaho* covers Ada, Adams, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Owyhee, Payette, and Washington counties.
- Southcentral Idaho covers Cassia, Gooding, Jerome, Lincoln, Minidoka, and Twin Falls counties.
- *Eastern Idaho* covers **Bannock**, Bear Lake, **Bingham**, Blaine, **Bonneville**, Butte, Camas, Caribou, Clark, Custer, Franklin, **Fremont**, **Jefferson**, Lemhi, **Madison**, **Oneida**, **Power**, and **Teton** counties.

Because of insufficient precipitation, irrigation is essential to crop production in most parts of the Snake River Plain that extends across southern Idaho. Farming practices, field size and shape, and types of equipment are influenced by irrigation in these areas. Although farming practices are comparable across much of the irrigated portions of southern Idaho, there are some regional differences, particularly in southwestern Idaho, where smaller fields translate into higher machinery operating expenses.

Rain-fed agriculture is dominant in the cooler northern Idaho region, where climate patterns affect crop choice, production practices, and equipment. However, northern Idaho does share some cultural practices and machinery types with the dryland grain-producing areas of eastern and southern Idaho.

Owning vs. Custom Hire

Custom services can sometimes be hired at a cost lower than that of owning and operating farm equipment, particularly on smaller farms. For example, a new grain combine that costs \$350,000 will have an annual ownership cost of approximately \$40,000 per year, depending on assumptions regarding years of life, salvage value, and interest rates. If operating costs for this combine are \$15 per acre and a custom operator charges \$40 per acre, then a minimum of 1,600 acres of grain must be harvested before ownership becomes as economical as hiring a custom operator. The breakeven acreage calculation uses the following formula:

Breakeven	acreage	=
-----------	---------	---

Annual ownership cost (Custom rate per acre – Operating cost per acre)

		,
Annual ownership cost	=	Annual depreciation, interest, taxes, insurance, and housing (see table 1 [page 4], line 9)
Custom rate per acre	=	Going rate charged for that service (see Tables A–J)
Operating cost per acre	=	Fuel, maintenance and repairs, labor, and supplies (see Table 1 [page 4], line 17)

The same calculation can be done if costs are given per mile, bale, cwt, or some other unit, rather than per acre.

Figure 1 shows that the cost of owning and operating the grain combine is greater than the cost of custom hiring up to the breakeven acreage (1,600 acres). If the owner of the equipment uses it on more acres than the number needed to break even, the average cost per acre will be less than the amount required to hire a custom operator.

Breakeven cost calculations should be based on both cash and non-cash costs. Non-cash costs include owneroperator's labor, depreciation, and interest on the owner's equity. Cash costs are the more obvious ones, such as fuel, maintenance and repairs, hired labor, taxes, and interest paid on equipment loans. See "Calculating Machine Costs" (page 3) for more information about calculating annual ownership cost and operating cost per acre.

Other considerations include the availability of custom operators and their timeliness in completing the work. Crop yield and quality may suffer if the custom operator cannot complete tillage, spraying, planting, or harvesting operations in a timely manner. The quality of the custom work should also be considered. Each farmer needs to determine the risk associated with timeliness and quality of work. These risks vary by crop, location, financial condition of the farmer, and competition in the custom services market.



Figure 1. Breakeven acreage calculation for grain combine example.

Cost of owning and operating equipment - Custom rate

Survey Data

From November 2013 to May 2014, custom operators, farmers, and other agribusiness firms in Idaho were contacted about custom rates charged or paid for various farm operations. Names were obtained from Extension agricultural educators, classified sections of newspapers, commodity directories, other farmers, and custom operators. Respondents were contacted by telephone or by mail. Some respondents provided the rates they charged in 2013, while others provided their 2014 rate schedule. It should be noted that fuel prices were stable from the time the survey started until it was completed.

Custom rates survey data are presented separately for southern and northern Idaho. Southern Idaho data are presented in Tables A through I. Data for northern Idaho are presented in Table J. Each table shows the average, high, and low custom rate charge reported, as well as the number of responses. Unless noted otherwise, costs of materials such as chemicals, seed, and fertilizer are not included in these custom rates.

The 10 tables located in the appendix are listed below. (Note: There were insufficient numbers of responses to provide information on custom harvesting of onions, potatoes, and sugarbeets or custom hauling, as was done in the past.)

Table A. Custom aerial application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)

Table B. Custom ground application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)

Table C. Custom row markout (bedding) and fumigation costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)

Table D. Custom tillage costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)

- **Table E.** Custom cultivation costs, 2013–14 (southernIdaho)
- Table F. Custom planting and seeding costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)
- **Table G.** Custom harvesting costs for hay, peas, and straw,2013–14 (southern Idaho)
- **Table H**. Custom harvesting costs for silage and other forage crops, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)
- **Table I.** Custom harvesting costs for dry beans, dry peas, seed crops, corn, and small grains, 2013–14 (southern Idaho)

 Table J. Northern Idaho rates for custom work and equipment rental, 2013–14

Calculating Machine Costs

When information about custom operations and rates is not available, one may need to calculate the cost of performing a particular task. Machine costs can be separated into time-related and use-related categories. Time-related expenses may be classified as ownership costs, while use-related costs may be referred to as operating costs. As might be expected, machine costs do not always fall neatly into a particular category. For example, depreciation is a function of both time and use. For clarity, this publication follows the traditional conventions of classifying costs as shown below.

Ownership costs

Annual depreciation Interest on the value of the machinery and equipment Property taxes on the machine (if applicable) Insurance Shelter or housing

Operating costs

Fuel and lubrication Maintenance and repairs Supplies used in the operation (e.g., baler twine) Labor

Equipment costs vary by farm and by custom operator. Factors that influence equipment costs include operating conditions, amount and type of equipment use, original cost of the machinery, replacement costs, interest rates, and quality of maintenance, among others.

The method for estimating machinery costs is the same for both new and used machinery. The parameters are different, however, and the resulting cost per hour of operation may differ significantly.

Producers' machinery records are the best source for cost information. However, if records are lacking, one can make a cost estimate as shown in Table 1 (page 4). The moldboard plow example in Table 1 shows that costs must be calculated separately for the tractor and plow; the tractor is used for a different number of hours, and the tractor and plow have different cost factors and different rates of depreciation. Note that labor is charged only once because only one operator is needed for both pieces of equipment. Be sure to add the cost of materials, such as chemicals, seed, twine, fertilizer, etc., when these are provided by the custom operator.

In this example, the tractor's hourly cost is \$92.95, and the plow's hourly cost is \$17.90, for a total of \$110.85 per hour for the plowing operation. If 2.8 acres are covered per hour, the cost per acre is about \$39.60 ($$110.85 \div 2.8$).

Acres covered per hour can be estimated based on personal experience or by using the following formula:

Acres per hour =

[Speed (mph) x machine width (ft) x machine's field efficiency (%)] 8.25

For example, if a 16-foot-wide machine travels at 4 miles per hour and has a field efficiency of 70 percent, the calculation would be as follows:

 $\frac{[4 \text{ mph x 16 feet x 0.70]}}{8.25} = 5.4 \text{ acres per hour}$

Typical speeds and field efficiencies for various types of machinery are shown in Table 2 (page 5). Field efficiency is less than 100 percent because of equipment overlap, turning time, and time required to adjust and service machinery and to fill hoppers and tanks when inputs are being applied.

One reference for estimating machinery costs is PNW Extension publication 346, *Costs of Owning and Operating Farm Machinery in the Pacific Northwest* (University of Idaho, 2011). Estimates in this publication are based on new machinery costs and a range of expected total hours of use during the life of the machine.

Another useful tool is Machinery Cost Analysis, a

University of Idaho Windows-based computer program available from the UI Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology website (see "References and Other Useful Links," page 19).

Adjusting Custom Rates Using USDA Indices

Custom rates change when costs associated with ownership and operation of farm machinery and equipment change. In the absence of actual market data, a price index can be used to adjust historical custom rates to appropriate current rates based

continues on page 6

Table 1. Estimating costs of owning and operating farm machinery, using an example of plowing.

Equipment parameters	165-hp tractor	4-bottom (plow)	the end of its ownership period.			
 Purchase price¹ Expected ownership period (years)² Salvage value³ Adjusted average value⁴ Estimated annual hours of use 	\$157,500 15 \$30,000 \$98,000 500	\$14,400 10 \$2,400 \$9,000 150	⁴ Average value: (Purchase price + Salvage value) ÷ 2. This value is often used in machinery cost calculations. However, using this unadjusted average will underestimate the interest charge on capital because it is an end-of-period value. To get a beginning-of-investment-period value, simply add a year of depreciation. The adjusted average formula used in this example is: (Purchase price + Salvage value + Annual depreciation) ÷ 2.			
Annual ownership cost			⁵ An estimate of annual depreciation should be used. Depreciation is the loss in			
6. Depreciation ⁵ 7. Interest ⁶	\$8,500 \$5,880	\$1,200 \$540	(based on years of useful life) rather than tax depreciation (based on the IRS's tax life) should be used. Straight-line depreciation [(Purchase price – Salvage value)			
8. Taxes, housing, and insurance ⁷ (see Table 3, page 7)	\$1,078	\$45	÷ Years of useful life)] was used. More complicated depreciation methods can be used, but still will produce only an estimate. Depreciation is known only when the machine is sold or traded			
9. Annual ownership cost (line 6 + line 7 + line 8)	\$15,458	\$1,785	⁶ Interest is an opportunity cost of capital and is charged against the adjusted average value using a real rate of interest. A real (inflation-adjusted) interest			
10. Ownership cost per hour (line 9 ÷ line 5)	\$30.92	\$11.90	rate of 6% was used in the example calculation. Interest should be charged for all capital, not just the borrowed amount.			
Annual operating cost			⁷ The charge for taxes, housing, and insurance is based on the values shown			
11. Repairs and maintenance per hour ⁸	\$13.07	\$7.20	in Table 3 (1.1% for tractor and 0.5% for plow) multiplied by the adjusted			
12. Fuel consumption: gallons per hour ⁹	7.25	-	[®] Papaire and maintanance costs are based on the repair factor coefficients			
13. Fuel and lubrication cost per hour ¹⁰	\$29.20	-	per \$1,000 of purchase price, which are found in Table 2 (page 5), or			
14. Labor (\$18.00/hr x 1.1) ¹¹	\$19.80	-	(Purchase price ÷ 1,000) x 0.083 (for tractor) and x 0.5 (for plow).			
15. Materials needed (twine, etc.) ¹²	-	-	⁹ Fuel consumption per hour is based on an engineering equation that relates			
16. Total operating cost per hour (lines 11 + 13 + 14 + 15)	\$62.03	\$7.20	PTO horsepower to fuel consumption per hour. The factor for diesel is 0.044, and for gasoline it is 0.060. For example, diesel consumption for a 165-hp			
17. Total operating cost per acre (line 16 ÷ 2.8 acres per hour) ¹³	\$22.15	\$2.57	¹⁰ Fuel costs per hour are based on the estimated fuel consumption per hour			
Total cost			(7.25 gallons) multiplied by the price of off-road diesel (\$3.50 per gallon), or 7.25 gallons per hour x \$3.50 per gallon = \$25.38 per hour 1 ubricant costs			
18. Total cost per hour (line 10 + line 16)	\$92.95	\$17.90	per hour are estimated using a standard engineering coefficient of 15% of fuel costs, or 0.15 x \$25.38 per hour = \$3.81 per hour. Fuel and lubricant costs:			
19. Total cost for plowing operation per hour	· \$11	0.85	$525.36 \pm 53.61 = 529.19$, rounded to 529.20 .			
20. Total cost for plowing operation per acre ¹³ (\$110.85 ÷ 2.8 acres per hour)	. Total cost for plowing operation per acre ¹³ (\$110.85 ÷ 2.8 acres per hour) \$39.59		This is increased by 10% to account for time spent servicing equipment and travel. This converts the cost per hour of labor to a cost per hour of machine operating time. The appropriate labor adjustment factor will vary by type of			
¹ Purchase price is the price paid for the mach	inery, whether ne	ew or used.	operation and travel distances.			

¹²When materials (baling twine, seed, chemicals, etc.) are furnished by the custom operator, these costs should be included in the estimate.

¹³A plowing speed that covers 2.8 acres per hour is based on a plow width of 6 feet (18" bottoms), a tractor speed of 4.5 miles per hour, and field efficiency of 85%. These last two factors are the midpoints for the range of values shown in Table 2.

²The expected ownership period is the years of useful life or the number of

years until the machine will be traded. Table 2 lists estimated total hours of useful life for various types of equipment and can be used to estimate the years of useful life if hours of annual use are known. In this example, the tractor is used 500 hours per year and the plow 150 hours.

³Salvage value is the expected selling price or trade-in value of the machine at

Table 2. Farm machinery field efficiencies, field speeds, hours of useful life, and repair and maintenance factors.

	Field ef	ficiency	Field s	peed	Estimated	Total life	Repair	
Machine	Range (%)	Typical (%)	Range (mph)	Typical (mph)	life (hr)	R&M cost ¹ (% of list price)	factor/hr ² (per \$1.000 of list price)	
Tractors	(,,,,	(,,,,	((()	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(
2-wheel-drive and stationary	_	_	_	_	12,000	100	0.083	
4-wheel-drive and crawler	-	-	-	-	16,000	80	0.050	
Tillage and planting								
implements								
Moldboard plow	70-90	85	3.0-6.0	4.5	2,000	100	0.500	
Heavy-duty disk	70-90	85	3.5-6.0	4.5	2,000	60	0.300	
Tandem disk harrow	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	6.0	2,000	60	0.300	
(Coulter) chisel plow	70-90	85	4.0-6.5	5.0	2,000	75	0.375	
Field cultivator	70-90	85	5.0-8.0	7.0	2,000	70	0.350	
Spring-tooth harrow	70-90	85	5.0-8.0	7.0	2,000	70	0.350	
Roller-packer	70-90	85	4.5-7.5	6.0	2,000	40	0.200	
Mulcher-packer	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	5.0	2,000	40	0.200	
Rotary hoe	70-85	80	8.0-14.0	12.0	2,000	60	0.300	
Row crop cultivator	70-90	80	3.0-7.0	5.0	2,000	80	0.400	
Rotary tiller	70-90	85	1.0-4.5	3.0	1,500	80	0.533	
Row crop planter	50-75	65	4.0-7.0	5.5	1,500	75	0.500	
Grain drill	55-80	70	4.0-7.0	5.0	1,500	75	0.500	
Harvesting equipment								
Corn picker-sheller	60-75	65	2.0-4.0	2.5	2,000	70	0.350	
Combine	60-75	65	2.0-5.0	3.0	2,000	60	0.300	
Combine (SP) ³	65-80	70	2.0-5.0	3.0	3,000	40	0.133	
Mower	75-85	80	3.0-6.0	5.0	2,000	150	0.750	
Mower (rotary)	75-90	80	5.0-12.0	7.0	2,000	175	0.875	
Mower-conditioner	75-85	80	3.0-6.0	5.0	2,500	80	0.320	
Mower-conditioner (rotary)	75-90	80	5.0-12.0	7.0	2,500	100	0.400	
Windrower (SP) ³	70-85	80	3.0-8.0	5.0	3,000	55	0.183	
Side delivery rake	70-90	80	4.0-8.0	6.0	2,500	60	0.240	
Rectangular baler	60-85	75	2.5-6.0	4.0	2,000	80	0.400	
Large rectangular baler	70-90	80	4.0-8.0	5.0	3,000	75	0.250	
Large round baler	55-75	65	3.0-8.0	5.0	1,500	90	0.600	
Forage harvester	60-85	70	1.5-5.0	3.0	2,500	65	0.260	
Forage harvester (SP) ³	60-85	70	1.5-6.0	3.5	4,000	50	0.125	
Sugarbeet harvester	50-70	60	4.0-6.0	5.0	1,500	100	0.667	
Potato harvester	55-70	60	1.5-4.0	2.5	2,500	70	0.280	
Cotton picker (SP) ³	60-75	70	2.0-4.0	3.0	3,000	80	0.267	
Miscellaneous equipment	00.00	70	50400	7.0	4 6 6 6	00	0.007	
Fertilizer spreader	60-80	70	5.0-10.0	7.0	1,200	80	0.667	
Boom-type sprayer	50-80	65	3.0-7.0	6.5	1,500	70	0.467	
Air-carrier sprayer	55-70	60	2.0-5.0	3.0	2,000	60	0.300	
Bean puller-windrower	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	5.0	2,000	60	0.300	
Beet topper/stalk chopper	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	5.0	1,200	35	0.292	
Forage blower	-	-	-	-	1,500	45	0.300	
Forage wagon	-	-	-	-	2,000	50	0.250	
Wagon	-	-	-	-	3,000	80	0.267	

Source: American Society of Agricultural Engineers Standards: Agricultural Machinery Data Management. ASAE D497.4 FEB03.

¹Total R&M cost is the accumulated repair and maintenance cost over the entire useful life as a percentage of the machine's list price. ²The repair factor per hour of use is derived by using the percent of list price for the total life R&M cost from ASAE Standards to calculate the lifetime accumulated repairs per \$1,000 of list price and dividing this value by the total hours of useful life. This method will overestimate repairs and maintenance for machinery owned less than the estimated life. These repair factors were used to estimate repair costs on the tractor and plow in Table 1.

 $^{3}SP = self-propelled.$

on changes in cost over time. Five individual USDA farm price indices were used to develop a new weighted composite index that can be used for this purpose.

Table 4 shows the individual indices as well as the composite index from 2004 through 2014. As an example, suppose the per-acre cost for moldboard plowing was \$30.00 in 2009. In Table 4, the composite cost index for 2009 is 88, and the composite cost index for 2014 is 106. The adjusted per-acre cost in 2014 would be calculated as follows:

106 ÷ 88 x \$30.00 = \$36.13

The USDA Custom Rates Index is also shown in Table 4. This index tracks the rates farmers paid for custom services reported in the USDA survey. Figure 2 shows annual percentage changes for the composite index and the USDA Custom Rates Index from 2005 to 2014. The composite index rose by 58 percent, from an index value of 67 in 2004 to 106 in 2014, while the Custom Rates Index increased by only 35 percent, from 81 to 109.

This comparison illustrates the problem faced by custom operators. The composite index tracks how custom operators' costs have changed, while the Custom Rates Index shows how much of the cost increase has been passed on to customers—considerably less than the total cost increase. Cost efficiencies gained from using larger equipment and covering more acres have helped some custom operators deal with this cost-price squeeze, but many have simply been squeezed out of business.

The composite index reflects costs for operators using new equipment. Actual custom rate charges lag behind the composite index at least in part because many custom operators use machinery and equipment purchased in earlier years. Those who use an index as a guide should also be aware that the relative shares of labor, fuel, repair, and machinery costs vary considerably by type of operation. Fuel may account for one-fourth or more of plowing costs, but only 10 percent of a combine's cost. Thus, different weights for machinery, repairs, fuel, and wages may be appropriate.

All indices in Table 4 are based on national cost and price data. Values for Idaho may be slightly different. Data needed to keep these index values current can be obtained from USDA (see "References and Other Useful Links").



Table 3. Percentage of average machine value used to estimate property taxes, housing, and insurance (THI) for selected machinery.

Machinery	Taxes ¹	Housing ²	Insurance ³	Total	Machinery	Taxes ¹	Housing ²	Insurance ³	Total
Wheel tractor	0	0.3	0.8	1.1	Hay baler	0	1.9	0.5	2.4
Crawler tractor	0	0.2	0.8	1.0	Self-propelled automatic				
Combine	0	0.5	1.5	2.0	bale wagon	0	1.0	1.5	2.5
Potato harvester	0	1.4	0.5	1.9	Pull-type automatic bale				
Bean cutter	0	1.1	0.5	1.6	wagon	0	1.0	0.5	1.5
Self-propelled forage					Self-unloading forage				
harvester	0	1.3	1.5	2.8	wagon	0	-	0.5	0.5
Pull-type forage harvester	0	1.3	1.5	2.8	Drill-planter	0	2.4	0.5	2.9
Self-propelled windrower	0	1.1	1.5	2.6	Tillage equipment	0	-	0.5	0.5
Bean windrower	0	1.1	0.5	1.6	Sprayer	0	_	0.5	0.5
Hay rake	0	-	0.5	0.5					

¹Idaho no longer charges property tax on farm machinery. A rate of 1% is often used to estimate property tax in states where it still exists.

²Housing costs can be expressed as a percentage of purchase price, list price, or adjusted average value. Another approach is to estimate the value of the storage area required to place the equipment under cover. First, estimate the number of square feet required to store equipment, multiply this by the cost per square foot to build the storage, and amortize this cost over the machine shed's useful life. The rate depends on the type of shelter. A rate between \$0.75 and \$0.90 per square foot would provide a reasonable estimate based on current construction costs.

³When insurance costs on machinery are unknown, insurance can be estimated using a percentage of purchase price, list price, or adjusted average value. Insurance rates per \$100 of value typically range between 0.4 and 0.6% for most tillage and pull-type harvesting equipment (\$0.40 to \$0.60 per \$100). Rates for tractors and self-propelled machinery are typically higher, ranging between 0.65 and 2.0% (\$0.65 to \$2 per \$100 of value).

		Prices	s paid inc	lices ¹					
Year	Machinery ²	Repairs	Diesel	Wages	Interest	Composite ³	Composite annual percent change	USDA Custom Rates Index ⁴	Custom rates annual percent change
Weight	0.45	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.15	1.00			
2004	66	83	42	83	67	67	-	81	-
2005	71	87	59	86	76	74	10%	82	1%
2006	75	88	66	89	91	79	7%	83	1%
2007	78	91	72	92	98	83	5%	84	1%
2008	86	93	95	97	102	92	11%	97	15%
2009	91	94	59	98	94	88	-4%	98	1%
2010	94	96	76	99	92	92	5%	98	0%
2011	100	100	100	100	100	100	9%	100	2%
2012	105	103	103	103	94	103	3%	103	3%
2013	108	104	97	106	95	104	1%	106	3%
2014	111	105	95	108	101	106	2%	109	3%

Table 4. USDA indices of prices paid and custom rates, 2004–14.

Source: NASS, USDA Agricultural Prices Annual Summary (various years). Washington D.C., July. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do?documentID=1002

¹Index values are calculated using 2011 as base year, where index values equal 100.

²Machinery index is a composite of tractors, self-propelled, and other machinery.

³The composite custom rate index is calculated by weighting individual component indices as follows: machinery 45%, repairs 10%, diesel 15%, wages 15%, and interest 15%. The calculated value is rounded to the nearest full percentage point. The composite index was developed by the authors and is presented as an alternative to using the USDA Custom Rates Index.

⁴The Custom Rates Index is a USDA-calculated index.

Conclusion

Hiring a custom operator provides a reasonable way to accomplish work when time or machinery is lacking. Performing custom work for others can help machine owners make more efficient use of their resources by spreading ownership costs over more acres, reducing the overall operating cost without incurring the expense of acquiring more land.

The survey data provided in this publication should serve only as a guide in setting rates. Prevailing conditions such as weather, field shape and size, the presence of rocks, and other factors affecting ease or difficulty of operation should be considered. For example, some custom operators in irrigated regions charge more for fields with furrow irrigation than for fields with sprinkler irrigation. Rocky or rough field conditions also result in higher charges, especially for tillage and cultivation. The size of the job is another important factor, with higher rates charged for smaller jobs.

Response rates for different types of operations varied widely. In some cases, only a single response was received, so please take this into consideration when using these data. Users should also be aware that quoted rates might not be representative of an entire area or region. For example,

fuel costs can be much higher in more remote regions, thus justifying a higher rate.

While custom rates have increased since the last edition of this publication in 2010–11, most rates have not increased as fast as would be indicated by the composite cost index values found in Table 4. These index values suggest custom rates have not kept pace with increases in ownership and operating costs for new equipment.

One cost that does not show up in the appendix tables is the set-up fee charged by a number of custom operators, in addition to the per-unit charges. Fuel prices are another variable that often results in additional charges. Volatile and uncertain fuel prices in recent years left some custom operators losing money if they bid jobs when fuel prices were low and did not include a provision for a fuel surcharge in the contract. Some custom operators have instituted a fuel surcharge, while others require the individual who hires them to provide fuel. Operators in some regions may now offer custom rate quotes minus fuel.

In summary, custom rates need to be configured with as much information as possible, including current market rates in your area. This publication should serve as a data source to help determine equitable yet profitable custom rates for both users and providers of custom services across the major agricultural regions in Idaho.

Appendix

Onenation	11	014	60	-	Southern ID
Operation	Unit	5₩	50	E	avg
MINIMUM JOB CHARGE Average High Low Responses	job	\$400 \$400 \$400 1	\$317 \$400 \$250 3	 	\$359 \$400 \$250 4
DRY PRODUCT					
Minimum charge (< 100 lb) Average High Low Responses	acre	\$12.00 \$14.00 \$10.00 2	\$9.67 \$10.00 \$9.00 3	\$12.75 \$13.00 \$12.50 2	\$11.47 \$14.00 \$9.00 7
Plus cents per pound (> 100 lb) Average High Low Responses	lb	\$8.75 \$9.50 \$8.00 2	\$8.80 \$9.50 \$8.00 3	\$12.80 \$13.00 \$12.50 2	\$10.10 \$13.00 \$8.00 7
SEEDING Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$10.67 \$15.00 \$7.00 3	- - -	\$10.67 \$15.00 \$7.00 3
LIQUID PRODUCT					
3 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$8.75 \$10.00 \$7.50 2	\$9.05 \$10.15 \$8.00 3	\$7.88 \$8.00 \$7.75 2	\$8.56 \$10.15 \$7.50 7
5 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$11.25 \$12.00 \$10.50 2	\$9.91 \$10.40 \$9.00 4	\$8.75 \$9.00 \$8.50 2	\$9.97 \$12.00 \$8.50 8
7.5 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$11.02 \$12.00 \$10.00 3	\$10.13 \$10.25 \$10.00 2	\$10.58 \$12.00 \$10.00 5
10 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$14.00 \$16.00 \$12.00 2	\$12.15 \$12.25 \$12.05 2	\$11.13 \$11.50 \$10.75 2	\$12.43 \$16.00 \$10.75 6

Table A. Custom aerial application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).



|--|

Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	Southern ID avg
DRY FERTILIZER Notes: Plus \$1 on plowe ground. Plus \$1–\$2 for h	d or rou aerbicide	ıgh groun ə-impregi	d. Plus \$ nated feri	2–\$3 on tilizer.	corrugated	SPRAY CHEMICALS By volume:					
Broadcast: 0-750 lb Average High Low Besponses	acre	\$9.50 \$10.00 \$9.00 3	\$7.99 \$10.00 \$6.90 7	\$7.94 \$8.50 \$7.00 8	\$8.48 \$10.00 \$6.90 18	≤ 10 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$10.00 \$10.50 \$9.50 3	\$6.75 \$7.50 \$6.00 5	\$7.03 \$7.50 \$6.00 8	\$7.93 \$10.50 \$6.00 16
Broadcast: 500-1,500 Ib Average High Low Besponses	acre	\$9.83 \$10.50 \$9.50 3	\$10.22 \$12.00 \$8.25 8	\$8.64 \$9.20 \$8.00 8	\$9.56 \$12.00 \$8.00 19	By volume: 11–20 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$10.75 \$13.00 \$9.50 4	\$7.38 \$8.00 \$6.75 4	\$7.50 \$8.50 \$6.50 6	\$8.54 \$13.00 \$6.50 14
Broadcast: variable rate (1-2 products) Average High Low Responses	acre	\$14.00 \$18.00 \$10.00 2	\$10.33 \$12.00 \$9.00 3	\$11.67 \$12.50 \$9.00 6	\$12.00 \$18.00 \$9.00 11	By volume: 21–30 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$13.00 \$13.00 \$13.00 1	\$7.65 \$8.50 \$7.00 5	\$8.19 \$8.50 \$8.00 4	\$9.61 \$13.00 \$7.00 10
Broadcast: variable rate (3–4 products) Average High Low Responses	acre	\$16.00 \$18.00 \$14.00 2	\$12.50 \$13.75 \$11.75 3	\$12.88 \$15.00 \$12.00 4	\$13.79 \$18.00 \$11.75 9	By crop: grain, pulse crops, & alfalfa Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$6.56 \$7.00 \$6.00 4	\$7.20 \$7.50 \$6.50 4	\$6.88 \$7.50 \$6.00 8
LIQUID FERTILIZER Broadcast spray Average High Low Responses	acre	- - - -	- - -	\$7.50 \$8.00 \$7.00 2	\$7.50 \$8.00 \$7.00 2	By crop: row crops (potatoes, sugar- beets, onions) Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$8.00 \$9.50 \$7.00 3	\$7.94 \$8.50 \$7.00 4	\$7.97 \$9.50 \$7.00 7
Shank-in or markout Average High Low Responses	acre	\$25.33 \$26.00 \$24.00 3	\$23.00 \$24.00 \$22.00 3	\$24.50 \$25.00 \$24.00 2	\$24.28 \$26.00 \$22.00 8	Spray & incorporate Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$22.67 \$24.00 \$20.00 3	- - -	\$22.67 \$24.00 \$20.00 3
Sidedress Average High Low Responses	acre	\$13.75 \$15.00 \$13.00 4	\$13.50 \$14.00 \$13.00 2	- - -	\$13.63 \$15.00 \$13.00 6	Apply sulfuric acid: 10–20 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$12.00 \$12.00 \$12.00 2	\$10.67 \$12.00 \$8.00 3	\$11.34 \$12.00 \$8.00 5
iversity of Idaho						Apply sulfuric acid: 21–30 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$19.75 \$20.00 \$19.50 2	\$13.00 \$13.00 \$13.00 2	\$12.50 \$13.00 \$12.00 2	\$15.08 \$20.00 \$12.00 6
aul E. Patterson, Un						Apply sulfuric acid: > 30 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	- - - -	\$13.00 \$13.00 \$13.00 2	\$13.88 \$15.00 \$12.75 2	\$13.44 \$15.00 \$12.75 4



SW = Southwestern Idaho, SC = Southcentral Idaho, E = Eastern Idaho

Table C. Custom row markout (bedding) and fumigation costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Southern ID avg
STRIP TILLAGE						FUMIGATION					
Row markout & fertilize: 22" rows Average High Low Responses	acre	\$55.00 \$60.00 \$50.00 2	\$40.00 \$40.00 \$40.00 1	- - -	\$47.50 \$60.00 \$40.00 3	Shanked with chisel plow (Vapam or K-Pam): 30–40 gallons Average	acre	\$37.75	\$32.50	\$33.50	\$34.58
MARKOUT Note: Cost may increas	se \$2–\$	4/acre wi	th GPS.			High Low Besponses		\$39.00 \$35.00 4	\$40.00 \$25.00 2	\$39.00 \$24.00 4	\$40.00 \$24.00 10
Dry row markout: no product applied Average High Low Responses	acre	\$22.00 \$24.00 \$19.00 3	\$21.33 \$22.00 \$20.00 3	\$21.50 \$23.00 \$20.00 2	\$21.61 \$24.00 \$19.00 8	Ripper or disk- ripper with steel roller (Telone) Average High Low	acre	- - -	\$45.00 \$45.00 \$45.00	\$46.00 \$50.00 \$42.00	\$45.50 \$50.00 \$42.00
Row markout with one product: 0-30 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$25.33 \$26.00 \$24.00 3	\$23.00 \$24.00 \$22.00 3	\$24.50 \$25.00 \$24.00 2	\$24.28 \$26.00 \$22.00 8	Responses Ripper or disk- ripper with steel roller (Telone + Vapam) Average High	acre	- \$56.75 \$65.00	1 \$48.00 \$55.00	2 \$57.50 \$60.00	3 \$54.08 \$65.00
Row markout with two products or 31–45 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$25.00 \$25.00 \$25.00 1	\$28.00 \$28.00 \$28.00 1	\$26.50 \$28.00 \$25.00 2	Low Responses		\$53.50 4	\$44.00 3	\$55.00 2	\$44.00 9
Row markout, fertilize, & fumigate (Vapam/K-Pam) Average High Low Responses	acre	\$29.50 \$30.00 \$29.00 2	- - -	- - -	\$29.50 \$30.00 \$29.00 2						

Table D. Custom tillage costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).

Onevetion	11	CW	60	-	Southern	Onevotion	11	CW	60	F
	Unit	3₩	30		ID avg		Unit	3₩	30	<u> </u>
PRIMARY TILLAGE Deep rip/subsoil, V-ripper, disk- ripper: 18"+ Average High Low Responses	acre	\$27.50 \$30.00 \$25.00 2	\$30.00 \$35.00 \$25.00 2	- - -	\$28.75 \$35.00 \$25.00 4	SECONDARY TILLAGE (continued) Disk with harrow or tire roller Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	- - -	\$18.00 \$18.00 \$18.00 1
Disk-ripper: 10–16"	acre					Field cultivator with				
Note: Charge typically in roller harrow is included. Average High Low Responses	icrease	s \$3–\$5 f \$25.00 \$25.00 \$25.00 1	or sod or \$35.00 \$35.00 \$35.00 3	alfalfa and \$33.80 \$40.00 \$26.00 5	d \$2 when \$31.27 \$40.00 \$25.00 9	roller/packer Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$20.00 \$20.00 \$20.00 1	\$15.00 \$15.00 \$15.00 1
Moldboard plow: stubble or potato ground	acre					Triple K Average High Low	acre	\$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50	- - -	- - -
Notes: Charge typically i typically increases \$6-\$ when packer is included Average High Low Responses	increas 10 for h I.	es \$3-\$10 ay or soc \$29.50 \$34.00 \$24.00 6	0 for rock 1. Charge \$39.00 \$45.00 \$24.00 12	y ground. may incre \$28.33 \$30.00 \$25.00 3	Charge pase \$1 \$32.28 \$45.00 \$24.00 21	Responses Groundhog Average High Low Responses	acre	1 \$18.00 \$18.00 \$18.00 1	- - - -	- - -
Chisel plow: 10–12" Average High Low Responses	acre	\$17.50 \$20.00 \$15.00 2	\$22.90 \$29.00 \$20.00 5	\$29.00 \$30.00 \$28.00 2	\$23.13 \$30.00 \$15.00 9	Rotary hoe/tiller (rota-tiller) Average High Low Responses	acre	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 1	- - -	- - -
Offset (heavy) disk Average High Low Responses SECONDARY	acre	\$20.00 \$20.00 \$20.00 2	\$19.83 \$27.00 \$18.00 6	\$19.80 \$25.00 \$16.00 5	\$19.88 \$27.00 \$16.00 13	Roller harrow Note: Charge typically in Average High Low Responses	acre acrease	s \$2–\$4 v \$18.00 \$18.00 \$18.00 1	vith spike \$19.00 \$22.00 \$16.00 5	<i>harrow.</i> \$16.25 \$18.00 \$15.00 4
TILLAGE Tandem disk Average High Low Responses	acre	\$17.50 \$17.00 \$17.00 2	\$19.00 \$23.00 \$16.00 5	\$14.33 \$16.00 \$12.00 3	\$16.94 \$23.00 \$12.00 10	Harrow: spike tooth or flexible Average High Low Responses Land plane	acre	- - -	\$13.50 \$18.00 \$8.00 4	
						Average High Low Responses		- - -	- - -	- - -

Southern

ID avg

\$18.00

\$18.00

\$18.00

1

\$17.50

\$20.00

\$15.00 2

\$17.50

\$17.50

\$17.50

1

\$18.00

\$18.00

\$18.00

1

\$50.00

\$50.00

\$50.00

1

\$17.75

\$22.00

\$15.00

10

\$13.50

\$18.00

\$8.00 4

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00 0

Table E. Custom cultivation costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Southern ID avg
ROW CROP					
Cultivate Average High Low Responses	acre	\$20.00 \$20.00 \$20.00 1	\$19.67 \$22.00 \$18.00 3	- - -	\$19.84 \$22.00 \$18.00 4
Corrugate Average High Low Responses	acre	\$18.00 \$19.00 \$17.00 2	\$16.75 \$22.00 \$15.00 4	- - -	\$17.38 \$22.00 \$15.00 6
Hill potatoes Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$19.00 \$19.00 \$19.00 1	- - -	\$19.00 \$19.00 \$19.00 1
Basin tillage/ dammer diker	acre				
Note: Plus \$1-\$2 Average High Low Responses	when spra	aying is ind – – – –	cluded. \$20.88 \$24.00 \$17.00 4	- - -	\$20.88 \$24.00 \$17.00 4

Table F. Custom planting and seeding costs, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).

				_	Southern
Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	ID avg
FIELD CROPS					
Conventional drills					
Small grains, alfalfa grass, & legumes Note: Higher rate typ Average High Low Responses	, acre ically incl	udes use \$22.00 \$22.00 \$22.00 \$22.00 1	of GPS. \$17.50 \$19.00 \$16.00 4	\$15.33 \$25.00 \$10.00 6	\$18.28 \$25.00 \$10.00 11
Small grains, alfalfa grass, & legumes: roller harrow & plan	, t acre		of 0.00		
Average High Low Responses	ically incl	udes use _ _ _ _	\$25.00 \$30.00 \$20.00 2	\$20.33 \$26.00 \$12.00 6	\$22.67 \$30.00 \$12.00 8
Air seeder					
Small grain & legumes: seed only Notes: Plus \$2-\$4 wl when both dry and lid Minus \$2-\$3 for seed Average High Low Responses	acre nen dry o quid fertili ling only	r liquid fe izers are on drylar \$22.00 \$22.00 \$22.00 1	ertilizer is a applied. F d. \$19.00 \$20.00 \$18.00 2	applied. Pl lus \$1–\$4 \$17.00 \$18.00 \$16.00 2	us \$4–\$6 for GPS. \$19.33 \$22.00 \$16.00 5
Dryland no-till/dire seeding	ct				
Small grain: seed & fertilizer Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	- - -	\$19.00 \$22.00 \$16.00 4	\$19.00 \$22.00 \$16.00 4

SW = Southwestern Idaho, SC = Southcentral Idaho, E = Eastern Idaho

Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Souther ID avg
ROW CROPS					
Dry beans: 22"	acre				
Note: Higher rate in	ncludes GP	S.			
Average		\$22.50	\$19.33	-	\$20.92
High		\$25.00	\$20.00	-	\$25.00
LOW		\$20.00	\$18.00 3	_	\$18.0U
11650011565		2	5	_	5
Corn: 22"	acre				
Notes: Higher rate	INCIUDES GI	Minus ¢	\$1 for Che	mical or to	ertilizer.
Average	Jw spacing.	\$22.50	\$18.50	\$17.50	,9. \$19.50
High		\$25.00	\$20.00	\$19.00	\$25.00
Low		\$20.00	\$16.00	\$16.00	\$16.00
Responses		2	6	2	10
Sugarbeets: 22"	acre				
Notes: Higher rate	includes Gl	PS. Plus	\$1 for che	mical or f	ertilizer.
Average		-	\$19.00	\$19.50	\$19.25
High		-	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00
Low Responses		_	\$10.00 3	\$19.00 2	φ10.00 5
Potatoes: include	es				
trucks to haul se	ed acre				
Notes: Higher rate	includes Gl	PS. Plus	\$2 with liq	uid fertiliz	er
application.			\$07.00	Ф 40 Г 0	\$00.7 5
Average		-	\$37.00	\$42.50 \$45.00	\$39.75
Low		_	\$37.00 \$37.00	\$45.00 \$40.00	\$45.00
Responses		_	1	2	3
			No. of Concession, Name		
and the second	T P	- Trender			into



Photo: R. Dennis Roe, Washin State University

Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	Southern ID avg
SWATH						BALING					
Non-corrugated or non-irrigated	acre					Small bale: 2-string (14" x 16"					
Notes: Swathing hay is conditioner. Swathing add \$2–\$4 for rough of corrugated fields. For \$2–\$5 for small acrease Average	s with co straw m or corrug oat and ge.	onditioner hay be \$1- gated field pea hay, \$20.34	; minus \$2 -\$2 less. F s. For SW add \$1–\$2 \$17.15	2-\$4 withc For SC and I Idaho, rai 2. Typically \$17.18	ut I E Idaho, tes include r plus \$18.22	or 16" x 18") Note: Higher rates are Average High Low Responses	bale for 16"	x 18" bale \$0.90 \$1.00 \$0.80 4	es. \$0.80 \$0.85 \$0.75 2	\$0.95 \$1.25 \$0.75 4	\$0.88 \$1.25 \$0.75 10
High Low Responses Swathing peas on		\$25.00 \$18.00 8	\$20.00 \$15.00 13	\$20.00 \$13.00 15	\$25.00 \$13.00 36	Small bale: 3-string Average High Low	bale	- - -	\$1.05 \$1.05 \$1.05	\$1.25 \$1.25 \$1.25	\$1.15 \$1.25 \$1.05
corrugated field Average High	acre	-	\$24.00 \$28.00	-	\$24.00 \$28.00	Responses		-	1	1	2
Low Responses TWIN RAKE or TURNOVER Notes: For SC and E I For SC Idaho, rates in	acre daho, ad nclude c	– – dd \$2–\$3 orrugated	\$20.00 2 for rough fields. Typ	– – or corruga pically plus	\$20.00 2 ated fields. s \$1-\$2 for	bale: 3' x 4' Notes: Rate increases for straw may be \$1-\$2 Average High Low Responses	bale for lowe 2 less th	er yields, a han for ha \$14.00 \$16.00 \$12.00 3	typically < y. \$13.00 \$14.00 \$12.00 2	1.5 ton/a \$13.13 \$16.00 \$11.00 8	cre. Rate \$13.38 \$16.00 \$11.00 13
small acreage. Average High Low Responses		\$10.06 \$15.00 \$7.00 7	\$7.65 \$10.00 \$5.00 10	\$6.13 \$8.00 \$4.00 8	\$7.95 \$15.00 \$4.00 25	Large rectangular bale: 4' x 4' Notes: Rate increases for straw may be \$1-\$3 Average High Low Responses	bale for lowe 3 less.	er yields, 1 \$18.00 \$18.00 \$18.00 2	typically < \$16.56 \$18.00 \$15.00 9	1.5 ton/a \$15.47 \$16.00 \$14.00 8	<i>cre. Rate</i> \$16.68 \$18.00 \$14.00 19
						RETRIEVE & STACK (short haul) Small bale: 2-string					
Ì			T			(16" x 18" x 48") Average High Low Responses	bale	\$0.65 \$0.75 \$0.55 2	\$0.43 \$0.48 \$0.40 3	\$0.45 \$0.45 \$0.45 1	\$0.51 \$0.75 \$0.40 6
	8					Large rectangular bale: 3' x 4' Average High Low Responses	bale	\$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 1	- - -	\$4.10 \$5.00 \$3.00 5	\$4.55 \$5.00 \$3.00 6
nyder						Large rectangular bale: 4' x 4' Note: Rate for straw m Average High Low	bale ay be \$	0.50–\$1 / \$9.50 \$9.50 \$9.50	ower. \$6.25 \$8.00 \$4.50	\$5.15 \$6.00 \$4.00	\$6.97 \$9.50 \$4 00

Photo: Cindy Snyde

SW = Southwestern Idaho, SC = Southcentral Idaho, E = Eastern Idaho

1

2

Table G continues on page 15

5

8

Responses

Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Southern ID avg
COMBINATION PACKAGE					
Swath, rake, bale (16" x 18"), & stack Average High Low	ton	- - -	- -	\$47.50 \$47.50 \$47.50	\$47.50 \$47.50 \$47.50
Responses		-	-	1	1
Swath, rake, bale (3' x 4'), & stack Average High Low Responses	ton	- - -	- - -	\$36.33 \$45.00 \$30.00 3	\$36.33 \$45.00 \$30.00 3
Swath, rake, bale (4' x 4'), & stack Note: Plus \$3-\$5 for ro Average High Low	ton ugh or	rocky fiel – –	lds. \$36.67 \$40.00 \$32.00	\$35.00 \$42.00 \$30.00	\$35.84 \$42.00 \$30.00
Responses		-	3	5	8

Table H. Custom harvesting costs for silage and other forage crops, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).

					Southern						Southern
Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	ID avg
CORN SILAGE						GREEN CHOP HAY &	GRAIN				
Chop only	ton					Chop only	ton				
Notes: Rate may increase	by \$0.	.25–\$1.0	0 for greei	n chopp	oing grain or	Average		\$7.50	-	-	\$7.50
hay. Rates are set to a sta	ndard	dry matt	er basis, i.	e., 30%).	High		\$7.50	-	-	\$7.50
Average		\$5.50	-	-	\$5.50	Low		\$7.50	-	-	\$7.50
High		\$6.00	-	-	\$6.00	Responses		1	-	-	1
Low		\$5.00	-	-	\$5.00	Delta altar haul					
Responses		2	-	-	2	Rake, chop, naul	4				
Houl only of mile	top					(< 1 mile), & pack	ton		¢10.00		¢10.00
	lon	¢0 60			¢0.60	Average		-	\$10.00	-	\$10.00
Average		⊅2.03 ¢0.75	-	-	⊅2.03 ¢0.75	High		-	\$10.00	-	\$10.00
nigii		φ2.10 ¢0.50	-	-	Φ2.75 Φ0.50	Low		-	\$10.00	_	\$10.00
Low		φ2.00 0	-	-	φ2.00 0	Responses		-	I	-	I
Responses		2	-	-	2	Haul only: < 1 mile	ton				
Haul: > 1 mile							ton	\$4.50	_	_	\$4.50
plus \$/ton/mile	ton					High		\$4.50			\$4.50 \$4.50
Average		\$0.28	_	_	\$0.28	Low		\$4.50 \$4.50	_	_	\$4.50
High		\$0.30	_	_	\$0.30	Besponses		ψ 1 .50			ψ 1 .00
Low		\$0.25	-	-	\$0.25	hesponses		1			1
Responses		2	_	_	2	Haul: > 1 mile					
						plus \$/ton/mile	ton				
Pack	ton	\$4.05			# 4.05	Average		\$0.30	\$0.25	_	\$0.28
Average		\$1.25	-	-	\$1.25	High		\$0.30	\$0.25	_	\$0.30
High		\$1.50	-	-	\$1.50	Low		\$0.30	\$0.25	_	\$0.25
Low		\$1.00	-	-	\$1.00	Responses		1	1	_	2
Responses		3	-	-	3						
Chop. short haul.											
& pack	ton										
Average		_	\$9.75	_	\$9.75						
High		_	\$10.00	_	\$10.00						
Low		_	\$9.50	_	\$9.50						
Responses		-	2	-	2						

Table I. Custom harvesting costs for dry beans, dry peas, seed crops, corn, and small grains, 2013–14 (southern Idaho).

o		014		-	Southern ID	o		011/		-	Southern ID
Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	avg
CUT & WINDROW BEANS	acre					COMBINE/ THRASH STANDING					
Note: Plus \$15 for r	rockv. v	veedv field	ds.			Devile					
Average High Low Responses	,	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 1	\$31.75 \$40.00 \$20.00 4	- - -	\$40.88 \$50.00 \$20.00 5	Dry beans (commercial) or dry peas Average High	acre	\$67.50 \$70.00	-		\$67.50 \$70.00
COMBINE/ THRASH IN						Low Responses		\$65.00 2	-	_	\$65.00 2
WINDROW Dry beans						Seed crops: alfalfa, clover, grass and forbs	acre				
(commercial) or dry peas Note: Plus \$10 for r	acre rocks ii	n windrow	S.			Average High	uoro	\$65.00 \$65.00	- -	\$50.00 \$50.00	\$57.50 \$65.00
Average High		\$58.33 \$65.00 \$50.00	\$46.67 \$55.00 \$40.00	-	\$52.50 \$65.00 \$40.00	Low Responses	0.010	\$65.00 1	-	\$50.00 1	\$50.00 2
Responses		3	φ - 0.00 3	-	6	Notes: Plus \$10 f head. Plus \$5 for	or yields moisture	> 225 bus > 23%. F	hels. Plus lus \$2 for	\$10 for cl yield map	hopping corn ping. Thrash-
(commercial) or						ing down corn: \$	800/hour		¢ 40 50		
dry peas Average High Low	cwt	- - -	\$1.70 \$1.85 \$1.55	- - -	\$1.70 \$1.85 \$1.55	Average High Low Responses		\$51.00 \$55.00 \$45.00 5	\$40.50 \$46.00 \$35.00 2	-	\$45.75 \$55.00 \$35.00 7
Responses		-	0	-	0	Small grains:	acre				
Dry beans: garden or seed Average	cwt	_	\$1.88	_	\$1.88	Notes: Plus \$3-\$ \$2 for yield mapp	15 for loc ing.	dged grain ¢49 20	. Plus \$2- \$39 70	5 for shor	t haul. Plus
High Low Responses		- - -	\$2.00 \$1.75 2	- - -	\$2.00 \$1.75 2	High Low Responses		\$55.00 \$40.00 5	\$46.00 \$32.00 11	\$39.00 \$30.00 9	\$55.00 \$30.00 25
						Small grains: dryland Average	acre	\$35.00	_	\$24.00	\$29.50
						High Low Responses		\$40.00 \$30.00 2	- - -	\$28.00 \$20.00 2	\$40.00 \$20.00 4

1able 0, Northern fually fates for custom work and equipment remain 2010-1	Table J	. Northern	Idaho rates	s for custor	n work and	equipm	nent rental,	, 2013–14
--	----------------	------------	-------------	--------------	------------	--------	--------------	-----------

Operation	Unit	Avg	Responses	Range	
FERTILIZER APPLICATION					
Dry					
Floater (terragator), minimum 25 acres	acre	\$7.50	1	\$7.50	
Spinner (dry box), pulled by tractor with AutoSteer	acre	\$6.00	1	\$6.00	
Anhydrous					
Shanked, with aqua	acre	\$5.75	1	\$5.75	
NH ₃ plus dry (Banducator)	acre	\$9.75	2	\$8.75 to \$10.75	
Cultivator, 60–150 contiguous acres	acre	\$10.25 ¢0.75	1	\$10.25	
Cultivator, 151–300 contiguous acres	acre	\$9.75 \$0.25	1	Φ9.75 \$0.25	
Cultivator, 750–1500 contiguous acres	acre	\$8.75	1	\$8.75	
Min-till. 60–150 contiguous acres	acre	\$10.25	1	\$9.25	
Min-till, 151–300 contiguous acres	acre	\$9.75	1	\$8.75	
Min-till, 301–750 contiguous acres	acre	\$9.25	1	\$8.25	
Min-till, 750–1500 contiguous acres	acre	\$8.75	1	\$8.00	
Aerial					
0–100 lb	lb	\$8.00	1	\$8.00	
> 100 lb	lb	\$9.00	1	\$9.00	
Plus pesticide	acre	\$8.50	1	00.00	
Fernour	nour	φ900.00	I	\$900.00	
CHEMICAL APPLICATION					
Self-propelled ground sprayer	0.010	<u> </u>	E	<u> </u>	
10 gallons per acre	acre	φ1.20 \$8.25	2	\$7.00 to \$7.50 \$8.00 to \$8.50	
12 gallons per acre	acre	\$8.35	2	\$8.20 to \$8.50	
15 gallons per acre	acre	\$8.50	2	\$8.50 to \$8.50	
Aerial					
Minimum charge	hour	\$900.00	1	\$900.00	
3 gallons	acre	\$8.23	2	\$7.50 to \$8.95	
5 gallons	acre	\$8.90	2	\$8.30 to \$9.50	
TILLAGE					
Stubble bust (Schulte 26')	acre	\$16.50	2	\$15.00 to \$18.00	
Harrow	acre	\$5.00	1	\$5.00	
Cultivate	acre	\$8.00 \$14.00	1	\$8.00 \$14.00	
Plow	acre	\$25.00	1	\$25.00	
	4010	<i>\$20.00</i>		¢20.00	
PLANTING No till Sooding					
With fuel:					
All crops, air drill	acre	\$40.00	1	\$40.00	
Fuel supplied by grower:	acre	•••••		* · · · · · ·	
All crops, hoe drill	acre	\$25.50	2	\$23.00 to \$28.00	
All crops, cross-slot drill	acre	\$27.50	4	\$24.00 to \$32.00	
All crops, air drill	acre	\$21.00	2	\$20.00 to \$22.00	
HARVESTING					
Combining, grain, farmer provides trucks	hour	\$50.00	1	\$50.00	
Combining, grain, including trucks	hour	\$75.00	1	\$75.00	
Swatning hay (minimum 40 acres)	acre	\$16.75	2	\$16.00 to \$17.50	
Balling, 3' X 4' (minimum 40 acres)	ton	\$20.00 \$55.00	1	\$20.00 \$55.00	
Swathe, bale, and stack straw, $3' \times 4'$ bales (≥ 40 acres)	acre	\$45.00	1	\$45.00	
Drill (Ag Pro Conservation)					
Minimum charge	job	\$2,500.00	1	\$2,500.00	
150-249 acres	acre	\$16.75	1	\$16.75	
250–499 acres	acre	\$15.25	1	\$15.25	
500–999 acres	acre	\$13.75	1	\$13.75	
1000 acres or more	acre	\$12.00	1	\$12.00	

Table J continues on page 18

|--|

Operation	Unit	Avg	Responses	Range
Fertilizer applicators				
Mini-till anhydrous applicator	acre	\$2.25	1	\$2.25
Chisel anhydrous applicator	acre	\$5.10	2	\$2.55
Valmar	acre	\$5.10	2	\$2.55
Valmar with harrow	acre	\$3.50	1	\$3.50
Valmar fertilizer machine	acre	\$4.00	1	\$4.00
Miscellaneous				
Weed wiper	acre	\$3.50	1	\$3.50
Spinner spreader	acre	\$3.25	1	\$3.25
Spinner spreader with motor	acre	\$3.75	1	\$3.75
Pull-behind chemical applicator*	acre	\$3.50	1	\$3.50
*Note: Rental may be free, depending on size of order.				

References and Other Useful Links

- Painter, K. 2011. *The Costs of Owning and Operating Farm Machinery in the Pacific Northwest*. PNW 346. Moscow, ID: University of Idaho Extension. <u>http://www.cals.</u> <u>uidaho.edu/edComm/pdf/PNW/PNW0346/PNW0346.</u> html
- University of Idaho. 2010. *Machinery Cost Analysis*. <u>http://web.cals.uidaho.edu/idahoagbiz/management-tools/</u>
- USDA Prices Paid Index values can be found at <u>http://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/</u> Note: USDA no longer publishes the Annual Agricultural Prices Summary.
- Idaho AgBiz website, University of Idaho Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology: <u>http://web.cals.uidaho.edu/idahoagbiz/</u>
- Iowa State University's Farm Custom Rates Survey: <u>http://www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm/crops/html/a3-10.html</u> Note: This website also provides a link to custom rate guides for other states and Canadian provinces. This is a very convenient and useful site to reference.

The authors—**Paul E. Patterson**, former Extension Farm Management Specialist, University of Idaho, and **Kathleen Painter**, Extension Educator, University of Idaho Extension, Boundary County.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate and would like to acknowledge the cooperation of the farmers, custom operators, and other agribusinesses that willingly took part in the survey upon which this publication is based. We would also like to acknowledge and thank Pat Norell for her contributions in collecting and summarizing data. In addition, Extension educators or their office staff provided us with the names of many custom operators and assisted us with data collection, including Danielle Gunn (Fort Hall), Donna Gillespie (Minidoka County), and Cindy Kinder (Camas County).

University of Idaho Extension

Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Barbara Petty, Interim Director of University of Idaho Extension, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844. The University of Idaho has a policy of nondiscrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity/expression, age, disability or status as a Vietnam-era veteran.

Published September 2006. Revised September 2011. Revised December 2015.